

Jagannath University Journal of Science Volume 10, Number II, Dec. 2023, pp. 124–135 https://jnu.ac.bd/journal/portal/archives/science.jsp ISSN 3005-4486 (Online), ISSN 2224-1698 (Print)

# Effectiveness of Cognitive Behavior Group Therapy in Reducing the Risk of Eating Disorder among University Students

Research Article

**Fatema-Tu-Zohra Binte Zaman<sup>\*</sup> and Shahriar Hasan Rakib** Department of Psychology, Jagannath University, Dhaka-1100, Bangladesh

DOI: https://doi.org/10.3329/jnujsci.v10i2.71264

Received: 10 September 2023, Accepted: 16 November 2023

## ABSTRACT

Eating disorders are serious mental illnesses that typically originate during adolescence, often persist over an extended period, and significantly affect one's overall well-being. These disorders contribute significantly to the worldwide health burden. Despite a rising number of individuals seeking treatment for eating disorders, there is limited study regarding the effectiveness of therapy in preventive measures of the risk of eating disorders. Therefore, this research aims to study the effectiveness of cognitive behavior group therapy (CBGT) in reducing the risk of eating disorders among university students. Using a pretest-posttest with a control group design. The adapted Bangla version of the Eating Attitude Test (EAT-26) was utilized and socio-demographic data for all participants at the baseline and post-intervention. Thirty-six participants i.e., university students with a risk of eating disorders were chosen by purposive sampling. Participants were randomly assigned into two groups (intervention and non-intervention groups). However, the experimental (intervention) group (n = 18) received cognitive behavioral group therapy, and the control also known as the non-interventional group (n = 18) did not. To analyze the obtained data descriptive statistics, independent sample *t*-test, and paired sample t-test were performed. Findings showed that receiving CBGT sessions significantly reduced eating disorder risk in the intervention group. However, there is no difference in the risk of eating disorders in the pre-test and post-test of the non-intervention group was observed. This study's findings emphasize the importance and effectiveness of cognitive behavior group therapy in addressing and mitigating the risk of eating disorders among university students.

Keywords: Cognitive behavior group therapy, Risk of eating disorder, University students

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Fatema-Tu-Zohra Binte Zaman E-mail: zamanfatema@hotmail.com

Eating disorders are dangerous health issues that affect people of all ages but are mainly seen among adolescents and students (Klump et al., 2009). Findings notify an increase in point prevalence of eating disorders (EDs) over recent years from 3.5% in 2000-2006 to 7.8% in 2013-2018 (Galmiche et al., 2019). At present, eating disorders (EDs) among university students have become a top public health challenge (Déchelotte, 2018). Previously thought to be only a Western problem, it is now seen in adolescents of all racial and socioeconomic groups, and above 75% of these cases begin during adolescence (Rohde et al., 2017). Evidence reported that 11.5% of Southeast Asian countries students were at risk for an eating disorder, ranging from below 10% in Indonesia, Thailand, and Vietnam to 13.8% in Malaysia and 20.6% in Myanmar (Pengpid et al., 2018). It is a major public health problem among adolescents because of its high dominance and its potentially serious physical and psychosocial outcomes (Ackard et al., 2003). After substance use disorders, EDs primarily, anorexia nervosa have the second supreme mortality rate of any mental health condition (Chesney et al., 2014). These findings highlight a public health problem and justify additional research into the prevention, assessment, diagnosis, and treatment.

An eating disorder is a mental isorder characterized by abnormal eating behaviors that adversely affect a person's physical or mental health (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). The term "risk of eating disorder among university students" typically refers to the likelihood or probability of university students developing eating disorders, such as anorexia nervosa, bulimia nervosa, or binge-eating disorder. These conditions are characterized by disturbed eating behaviors and attitudes toward food, weight, and body shape.

DSM-5 classifies eating disorders mainly into three types i.e., bulimia nervosa, anorexia nervosa, and binge eating disorder. The university years represent a pivotal stage of development referred to as emerging adulthood (Arnett, 2004). This period, marked by young adults leaving home to search for higher education, aligns with the highest likelihood of mental and behavioral disorder onset (Auerbach et al., 2016).

In a Canadian study among 3,043 adolescents, the prevalence of estimated sub or full-threshold eating disorders was higher with obesity (20.2% females; 9.3% males) compared with a healthy weight (8.4% females; 2.1% males) (World Health Organization, 2020). Research also indicates a high lifetime prevalence of obesity among people, along with BN (31-33%) and a history of overweight among men with AN (39 %) (Villarejo et al., 2012). Persons with obesity experience high levels of dieting, body image eating. and concerns, and approximately 30% of overweight individuals who seek treatment are inclined to binge eating or compulsive overeating (Grucza et al., 2007). Systematic literature showed that preventive interventions can have significant effects on eating disorder symptoms and risk factors across adult populations (Barendregt et al., 2017).

Cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) has been verified to be one of the most effective treatments (Agras et al., 2021). However, "Cognitivebehavioral group Therapy (CBGT) refers to a group approach that makes use of behavioral, cognitive, relational, and group procedures to enhance the coping skills of the participants and ameliorate relational and intrapersonal problems that patients may be experiencing(Rose, 1999)". In group cognitive-behavioral therapy, participants work together under the guidance of a therapist to understand and address detrimental thought patterns that are influencing their actions and feelings. CBT groups empower an individual to reshape experience by getting to the root of the thought. Using any CBT modalities (individual or group therapy), consistently for more than one month can help relieve feelings related to underlying negative emotions i.e., stress, and anxiety (Pahlavanzadeh, Abbasi, Alimohammadi, (2017). Different metaanalyses have persistently shown that therapist-led and supervised CBT outperforms control conditions in treating various types of eating disorders (Linardon, 2018). Randomized controlled trials illustrated that specific forms of CBT produce large improvements in negative symptoms in individuals (Byrne et al., 2017).

#### The Rationale of the Study

Numerous studies have revealed that highly industrialized countries are where university students and young adults are most likely to express dissatisfaction with their physical appearance (Conley and Boardman, 2007). Faulty perception of body weight can trigger abnormal eating behaviors (Ruggiero et al., 2003). Eating disorder symptoms in university students may be related to other mental health problems such as depression and (Fragkos and Frangos, 2013). anxiety In Bangladesh, private university students showed a 37.6% eating disorder risk (Pengpid et al., 2015) that harms not only their academic performance but also leads to an interruption in several significant areas, such as daily functioning, social functioning, occupational functioning, etc. Early detection of an eating disorder can reduce serious physical and psychological complications or even death by providing earlier treatment. In this case, cognitive behavior therapy can help them. There are various types of treatment available, among them cognitive behavior therapy found to be more effective (Hay et al., 2014). So, now it is necessary to see the effectiveness of CBGT in reducing the risk of having an eating disorder among university students. Since no study has been carried out to measure its effectiveness in the Bangladeshi context, this research will fill the gap and it would entail immense benefit for those who are at risk.

## **Inclusion Criteria**



- Public university students with an age range of 19-25 years.
- Individuals falling within a certain BMI range, such as underweight, normal weight, or overweight.
- Individuals exhibiting signs, symptoms, or behaviors associated with eating disorders, such as extreme weight control behaviors.
- Individuals with a diagnosed psychiatric
   disorder (e.g., depression, anxiety) or psychological risk factors (e.g., low selfesteem, perfectionism).

#### **Objectives of the Study**

The main objective of the study was to investigate the effectiveness of cognitive behavior group therapy in reducing the risk of eating disorders among university students. The specific objectives were:

- 1. To investigate whether there is any significant difference in the risk of eating disorders (i.e., dieting, bulimia and food preoccupation, oral control) among university students in terms of a few demographic factors i.e., age, gender, height, and weight.
- 2. To investigate the effectiveness of cognitive behavior group therapy in reducing the risk of eating disorders among university students.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

#### Sample and Sampling Technique

The sample comprised 36 students from a public university who are at risk of eating disorders were chosen as a sample of the present study. Participants were selected through the random sampling technique based on several inclusion and exclusion criteria (see Figure 1). There was no significant difference in the risk of eating disorders in terms of various demographic factors, which is why participants were randomly allocated into two groups: one experimental ie., the interventional group and another control group also known as a non-interventional group.

## **Exclusion Criteria**



- Individuals with severe medical conditions that could significantly impact eating behavior or physical health.
- Individuals with severe psychiatric conditions that could confound or complicate the assessment of eating disorders.
- Individuals with current or past substance abuse issues.
- Individuals who do not have the necessary communication abilities to participate effectively in the study or assessment.

Figure 1. Inclusion and exclusion criteria of students with risk of eating disorder.

#### **Measuring Instruments and Outcome Measures**

The following questionnaires have been administered here to measure and collect primary raw data.

- Personal Information Form (PIF)
- Adapted Bangla Version of Eating Attitude Test (EAT-26)

**Personal Information Form (PIF).** A personal information form (PIF) is a necessary tool to collect data from participants developed by the researcher by himself. In this research, PIF consists of many domains such as participant's date of birth, gender, height, and weight.

The Eating Attitude Test (EAT-26). For the current investigation, Bangla (by following the protocol of the International Test Commission, 2010) adapted version (Zaman and Rakib, 2023) of the "Eating Attitude Test (EAT) -26," created by Garner et al. in 1982, was employed. This scale consisted of a list of 26 items with three sub-scales i.e., *dieting* means participants are engaged in dieting behavior (item number- 1,6,7,10,11,12,14, 16, 17, 22, 23, 24 & 26). *Bulimia and food preoccupation* refer to participants being always busy with food (items number 3,4,9,18,21&25),

and oral control measures avoiding food despite of hungry (items number 2,5,8,13,15,19&20). The respondents expressed their feelings on a 6- points "Likert Type of Scale"(i.e., "3" = always, "2" = usually, "1" = often, "0" = sometimes, "0" = rarely, "0" = never) for each item. Here, the total score was calculated by adding all the numbers from each answer rating. The total sum of EAT-26 scores ranges from 0 to 78. The cutoff score for the tool is a total score of  $\geq 20$ , indicating a risk for disordered eating attitudes and behaviors, and the need to be evaluated further by a mental health professional.

**Reliability.** The EAT-26 has a high level of reliability (internal consistency) ( $\alpha = .90$  for the AN group) (Garner et al., 1982). Pearson r = 0.98 (Garner et al., 1982). The Bangla version of EAT-26 contains a Cronbach alpha value of 0.85 (Zaman and Shahriar, 2023).

**Validity.** The EAT-26 has a high level of criterion validity is 0.90 (Lee et al., 2002).

## Study Design

The present research was conducted by pre-post with control group design under experimental design. The design and the protocol of the study are illustrated in the following figure.



Figure 2. Design of the present research.

**Procedure** In the present study, 300 university students from a public university were selected purposively, After identifying participants with the risk of eating disorders and receiving the final consent, 36 students were randomly assigned into two groups i.e., the experimental or intervention group (both male and female) who received cognitive behavior group therapy and the rest of them belong to the control group (non-intervention) who did not receive any therapy. Further,

participants of the experimental group were divided into 3 groups and each group consisted of 6 students (4 females and 2 males). Before providing treatment sessions, a pre-test was conducted in both groups. After providing treatment to the intervention group within 35 days, post-test data were collected. A total number of 5 CBGT sessions were taken. Each therapeutic session lasted 60 minutes. The following sessions were conducted with the intervention group:

Sessions		Activities	Purpose	
	•	Structuring		
One	٠	Setting the ground rules for the session	Rapport build-up and increasing	
	٠	Getting known to each other		
	٠	Finding out the problematic behavior	knowledge	
	•	Discussing factors affecting eating behavior and the impact of these on students		
	٠	Setting goals related to eating disorder		
	٠	Introduce the CBT approach, identify the negative	Introducing the	
		thoughts, beliefs, and distorted thinking patterns related to	treatment process	
Two		food	and identifying	
	•	Body image and self-worth	thinking error	
	٠	Homework: monitoring and recording automatic thought		
	٠	Review of session 2 and review of homework		
	٠	Challenge their negative automatic thought	Learning and	
Three	٠	Relapse negative automatic thought with a positive one	applying CBT	
	٠	Homework: Cognitive restructuring practice		
	٠	Review of session 3 and review of homework		
	•	Applying behavioral strategies-	Overcoming eating	
	٠	Meal planning	problem by	
Four	0	Addressing emotional eating	confronting the	
	0	Exploring trigger	triggered situation	
	0	Practicing self-care activities		
	•	Coping skill training		

Table 1. Summary of Sessions Performed in this Research.

#### **Data Processing and Analysis**

Participant's response was scored according to the scoring principles of the respective measures. Data were entered and analyzed through Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) version 26. Independent sample *t*-test was used to test whether

there was a significant difference between the groups in their sample characteristics. A paired sample *t*-test was used to find out the effect of cognitive behavioral group therapy among students with a risk of having an eating disorder. The level of significance was set at .05. Results were

128

discussed and presented through figures and tables as applicable.

## 3. Results

To test the research objectives, the obtained data



Figure 3. Visual graphs of pre-test eating disorder risk scores as assumption test.

The data is approximately normally distributed in terms of the visual output i.e., histograms, normal Q-Q plots, and box plots of the assumption test. In Figure 3, the histogram indicates a classic bellshaped, symmetric histogram with most of the frequency counts bunched in the middle and with the counts dying off in the tails. The Q-Q plot shows that the data points do not seriously derive from the fitted line. Further, the Box plot clearly shows a normal pattern of the data. It contains outliers and the data are symmetric.

were analyzed. Before applying inferential

statistics, the normality and homogeneity of the group of the collected data on risk of eating

disorder scores were checked.

## **Demographic Profiles of the Respondents**

The demographic profiles of the respondents are given in the table.

## Baseline Characteristics of the Participants (Persons with Risk of Having Eating Disorder)

Characteristics		Intervention Group $(n = 18)$		Non-Intervention Group $(n = 18)$	
		Frequency	Percent (%)	Frequency	Percent (%)
Gender	Female	12	66.7	12	66.7
	Male	6	33.3	6	33.3
Total (N)		18	100	18	100
The result pre the interventio	sented in Tab on and non-inte	le 2 shows that in be ervention groups 66.7	oth $(n = 12)$ were respectively.	re female and 33.	3% ( $n = 6$ ) were male
Table 3. Age,	Height, and W	eight of the Participa	ants in the Interven	tion and Non-Inte	rvention Group.
Variables		Intervention Gro	up	Non-l	ntervention Group
		(10)			(

**Table 2.** Gender of the Participants in the Intervention and Non-Intervention Group.

Interventio	n <b>Group</b>	Non-Intervention Group	
(n = 18)		(n = 18)	
М	SD	М	SD
21.00	1.74	21.33	1.78
166.83	6.04	165.67	6.36
63.39	10.07	63.61	9.08
	Interventio ( <i>n</i> = 18) <i>M</i> 21.00 166.83 63.39	Intervention Group         (n = 18)         M       SD         21.00       1.74         166.83       6.04         63.39       10.07	Intervention Group       Non-Intervention $(n = 18)$ $(n = 18)$ $M$ SD $M$ 21.00       1.74       21.33         166.83       6.04       165.67         63.39       10.07       63.61

In the above findings the mean age, height, and 166.83, 63.39 and in the non-intervention group, weight of the intervention groups were 21.00, the mean score was 21.33, 165.67, 63.31

# respectively of the same. Independent sample *t*-test (Figure 4-6)



Note. p>.05.

Figure 4. Eating disorder risk scores with the levels of participant's gender.



Note. p>.05.

Figure 5. Eating disorder risk scores with the levels of participant's height.



*Note. p*>.05.

Figure 6. Eating disorder risk scores with the levels of participant's weight.



Intervention Effect {Independent (Figure 7- 8) & Paired Sample *t*-test (Figure 9-10) & of EAT-26 Score)}



Figure 7. The pre-assessment of the intervention and non-intervention group.



Figure 8. The post-assessment of the intervention and non-intervention group.





Figure 9. Pre and post-assessment of the intervention group.

Figure 10. The pre and post-assessment of the non-intervention group.

A summary of the evidence for this account and of the data supporting the efficacy and effectiveness of this form of treatment was provided. The therapy appeared to be effective in improving overall eating habits and control among the participants. This study also found that even short-term CBGT intervention reduces the risk of eating disorders.

## 4. Discussion

The findings of the study highlight the potential benefits of cognitive behavior group therapy as an intervention for reducing the risk of eating disorders. The therapy appeared to be effective in addressing disordered eating behaviors and improving overall eating habits and control among the participants. Our finding shows that to improve these students' dysfunctional attitudes self-efficacy and confidence, the CBT intervention needs to be specifically targeted at them.

To satisfy the *first objective* required result is presented in Table 3 which shows that the mean age of the participants in the both intervention group and the non-intervention group is almost similar which indicates no significant difference in the risk of eating disorders among university students in terms of age. This finding suggests that age did not directly impact the likelihood of developing eating disorder risk in this particular student population. The findings of the present study were supported by the study of Money-Taylor et al. (2022). To achieve the same objective results are presented in Figure 4 which shows that there is no significant difference in the dimensions and total of the EAT-26 score of the participants in terms of gender. This implies that both male and female students were equally susceptible to eating disorders, and gender alone did not play a significant role in influencing the risk (Sanlier et al., 2016). Further, Figure 5 displays that there is no significant difference in the dimensions and total score in terms of height (Neale et al., 2020). To aim for the same *objective* required result shows that there is no significant (Figure 6) difference in the dimensions and total of the EAT-26 score in terms of weight. The findings were supported by Sanlier et al. (2016). To accomplish the second objective outcome is presented in Figure 7-10. Figure 7 shows that the participants have approximately similar risk of having eating disorders in the pre-test of the intervention and nonintervention groups. However, Figure 8 shows that the post-test mean of EAT-26 in the intervention group is lower than the post-test mean of EAT-26 in the non-intervention group. That indicates that the severity level of risk of having an eating disorder is lower in the intervention group than in the non-intervention group. Further, Figure 9 shows that the post-test mean of EAT-26 is lower than the

*Note. p*>.05.

pre-test mean of EAT-26 of the intervention group. That indicates that there is a significant reduction in the severity level of risk of having an eating disorder among the participants of the intervention group who received the CBGT. Figure 10 shows that the pre-test and post-test mean of the EAT-26in the non-intervention group is approximately similar which indicates that the severity level of risk of having an eating disorder neither significantly increased nor decreased among the participants of the control group who did not receive any intervention. The findings supported by the meta-analysis of Linardon et al. (2017) pointed out that CBT is by far the most consistently empirically supported psychotherapeutic option in the treatment of eating disorders. They found that CBT was more efficacious than inactive (wait-lists) and active (any psychotherapy) comparisons in individuals with eating disorders. CBT was shown to be more efficacious than active comparisons at reducing behavioral and cognitive symptoms of eating disorders. Thus, CBT can be recommended as a gold standard in the psychotherapeutic treatment of patients with eating disorders.

Cognitive behavioral group therapy refers to a support group that works to educate group members on techniques to improve cognition, relational skills, and impacted behaviors which could be an excellent option if a patient risk of an eating disorder seeks a support system throughout their healing journey. Challenges for the future development and dissemination of the treatment were also identified. Our finding shows that to improve these students' dysfunctional attitudes selfefficacy and confidence, the CBT intervention needs to be specifically targeted at them.

#### Limitations

The present study had some limitations which should be addressed by the future researcher. **First**, the number of participants was inadequate. **Second**, the number of sessions in CBGT was limited. **Third**, the study was done for a short duration of time.

#### 5. Conclusions and Recommendations

The results contribute to the existing literature on eating disorders and offer valuable information for mental health professionals, educators, and policymakers working to improve the well-being of university students. The following recommendations may be considered for future research. **Firstly**, empirical investigation of the CBGT intervention for other university students and different types of eating disorders. **Secondly**, the study can be done on a larger sample size. **Thirdly**, studies can be done on different age groups.

## Acknowledgment

This study was funded by the Ministry of Science and Technology and thanks should be given to all of those who participated in the study and helped to facilitate the research process.

#### References

- Ackard DM, Neumark-Sztainer D, Story M, Perry C. 2003. Overeating among adolescents: prevalence and associations with weightrelated characteristics and psychological health. *Pediatric*,111(1): 67-74. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.111.1.67
- Agras WS, Bohon C. 2021.Cognitive behavioral therapy for the eating disorders. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 17: 417-438. doi:10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-081219-110907
- American Psychiatric Association.
   2013. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (5th ed.). Arlington,
   VA: American Psychiatric Association.
   pp. 329–354. ISBN 978-0-89042-555-8.
- Arnett JJ. 2004. Emerging adulthood: The winding road from the late teens through the twenties. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Auerbach RP, Alonso J, Axinn WG, Cuijpers P, Ebert DD, Green JG, Bruffaerts R. 2016.
  Mental disorders among college students in the World Health Organization World Mental Health Surveys. *Psychological Medicine*, 46(14): 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1017/S00332917160016 65
- Byrne S, Wade T, Hay P, Touyz S, Fairburn CG, Treasure J, Crosby RD. 2017. A randomized controlled trial of three

psychological treatments for anorexia nervosa. *Psychological Medicine*, 47(16): 2823-2833.

doi:10.1017/S0033291717001349

- Chesney E, Goodwin GM, Fazel S. 2014. Risks of all-cause and suicide mortality inmental disorders: ameta-review.*World Psychiatry*, 13(2): 153-160. doi:10.1002/wps.20128
- Conley A, Boardman JD. 2007. Weight overestimation as an indicator of disordered eating behaviors among young women in the United States. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 40(5): 441-445. https://doi.org/10.1002/eat.20383
- Fragkos KC, Frangos CC. 2013. Assessing eating disorder risk: the pivotal role of achievement anxiety, depression and female gender in non-clinical samples. *Nutrients*, 5(3): 811-828.
- Galmiche M, Déchelotte P, Lambert G, Tavolacci MP. 2019. Prevalence of eating disorders over the 2000–2018 period: a systematic literature review. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*, 109(5): 1402-1413. https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/nqy342
- Garner DM, Olmsted MP, Bohr Y, Garfinkel PE. 1982. The eating attitudes test: psychometric features and clinical correlates. *Psychological medicine*, 12(4): 871-878.
- Grucza RA, Przybeck TR, Cloninger CR. 2007. Prevalence and correlates of binge eating disorder in a community sample. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 48(2): 124-131. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comppsych. 2006.08.002
- Hay PJ, Chinn D, Forbes D, Madden S, Newton R, Sugenor L, Ward W. 2014.Royal Australian and New Zealand college of psychiatrists clinical practice guidelines for the treatment of eating disorders. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*,48: 977-1008. doi: 10.1177/0004867414555814
- Klump KL, McGue M, Iacono WG. 2000. Age differences in genetic and environmental influences on eating attitudes and

behaviors in preadolescent and adolescent female twins. *Journal of abnormal psychology*, 109(2): 239. https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/0021-843X.109.2.239

- Le LKD, Barendregt JJ, Hay P, Mihalopoulos C. 2017. Prevention of eating disorders: A systematic review and metaanalysis. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 53: 46-58.
- Lee S, Kwok K, Liau C, Leung T. 2002. Screening Chinese patients with eating disorders using the Eating Attitudes Test in Hong Kong. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 32(1): 91-97. https://doi.org/10.1002/eat.10064
- Linardo J, Wade T. 2018. How many individuals achieve symptom abstinence following psychological treatments for bulimia nervosa? A meta-analytic review. *International Journal of Eating Disorders.* https://doi.org/10.1002/eat.22838
- Linardon J, Wade T, Piedad X, Brennan L. 2017. The efficacy of cognitive-behavioral therapy for eating disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Consulting & Clinical Psychology*, 85: 1080–1094.

https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/ccp00 00245

- Money-Taylor E, Dobbin N, Gregg R, Matthews JJ, Esen O. 2022. Differences in attitudes, behaviors, and beliefs towards eating between female body-building athletes and non-athletes, and the implications for eating disorders and disordered eating. *Sport Sciences for Health*, 18(1): 67-74.
- Neale J, Pais SM, Nicholls D, Chapman S, Hudson LD. 2020. What are the effects of restrictive eating disorders on growth and puberty and are effects permanent? A systematic review and metaanalysis. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 66(2): 144-156.
- Pengpid S, Peltzer K. (2018). Risk of disordered eating attitudes and its relation to mental health among university students in

ASEAN. *Eating and Weight Disorders-Studies on Anorexia, Bulimia and Obesity,* 23: 349-355. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s40519-018-0507-0.

- Pahlavanzadeh S, Abbasi S, Alimohammadi, N. 2017. The effect of group cognitive
- behavioral therapy on stress, anxiety, and depression of women with multiple
- sclerosis. Iranian journal of nursing and midwifery research, 22(4): 271–275.
- Pengpid S, Peltzer K, Ahsan GU. 2015. Risk of eating disorders among university students in Bangladesh. *International Journal of Adolescent Medicine and Health*, 27(1): 93-100. https://doi.org/10.1515/ijamh-2014-0013
- Rose, DH. 1999. A guide to starting psychotherapy groups: practical resources for the mental
- health professional, Chapter 13 group therapy: A cognitive-behavioral approach,
- 99-113. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012564745-8/50015-7
- Rohde P, Stice E, Shaw H, Gau JM, Ohls OC. 2017. Age effects in eating disorder baseline risk factors and prevention intervention effects. *International Journal* of Eating Disorders, 50(11): 1273-1280. https://doi.org/10.1002/eat.22775
- Ruggiero GM, Levi D, Ciuna A, Sassaroli S. 2003. Stress situation reveals an association

between perfectionism and drive for thinness. *International Journal of Eating Disorders* 34(2): 220-226. https://doi.org/10.1002/eat.10191

- Sanlier N, Yassibas E, Bilici S, Sahin G, Celik B. 2016. Does the rise in eating disorders lead to increasing risk of orthorexia nervosa? Correlations with gender, education, and body mass index. *Ecology of food and nutrition*, 55(3): 266-278.
- Shapiro SS, Wilk MB. 1965. An analysis of variance test for normality. *Biometrika*, 52(3/4): 591-611.
- Villarejo C, Fernández-Aranda F, Jiménez-Murcia S, Peñas-Lledó E, Granero R, Penelo E, Menchón JM. 2012. Lifetime obesity in patients with eating disorders: increasing prevalence, clinical and personality correlates. *European Eating Disorders Review*, 20(3): 250-254. https://doi.org/10.1002/erv.2166
- https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/0003-066X.62.3.199
- World Health Organization World Mental Health Surveys. *Biological Psychiatry*, 73(9): 904-914. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2012.11 .020
- Zaman FTZB, Rakib SH. 2023. Validation of the Eating Attitude Test. *Unpublished Thesis*. Department of Psychology, Jnu.